## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Revise Commission General Order Numbers 95 and 128.

Rulemaking 05-02-023 (Filed February 24, 2005)

TO: ALL PARTIES OF RECORD IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED PROCEEDING

## **NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY**

The proposed decision of ALJ Walker has been made available at <a href="http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/COMMENT\_DECISION/55759.htm">http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/COMMENT\_DECISION/55759.htm</a> on April 25, 2006. The proposed decision summary states:

The Commission on February 24, 2005 issued this Order Instituting Rulemaking 05-02-023 to consider uniform rules for attaching wireless antennas to jointly used utility poles and towers. Following seven days of workshops in San Francisco and Los Angeles, the parties jointly presented a workshop report containing three alternative proposals for a new Rule 94 to General Order 95. The parties reached agreement on most of new Rule 94 but differed on provisions dealing with identification signs, the vertical clearance between supply conductors and wireless antennas with radio frequency (RF) emissions, and exceptions to the rules for certain lowemission antennas. Our order today adopts in its entirety the Rule 94 sponsored by the Commission's Consumer Protection and Services Division, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 1245, the Communication Workers of America - Ninth District, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company. We reject the assertions of some parties that elements of the new Rule 94 are preempted by Federal Communications Commission (FCC) rules regulating RF exposure, concluding instead that mere acknowledgement of the FCC's RF rules does not preempt a state agency which enacts construction rules intended to provide a safe working environment for those climbing and working on transmission poles. This proceeding is closed.

## R.0502023 ALJ/GEW/jt2

Page 2 of 2

Any recipient of this Notice of Availability who is not receiving service by electronic mail in this proceeding or who is unable to access the link to the Commission's web site given above may request a paper copy of the proposed decision from the Commission's Central Files Office, at (415) 703-2045; fax number (415) 703-2263; e-mail cen@cpuc.ca.gov.

The proposed decision will not appear on the Commission's agenda for at least 30 days after the date it is mailed. The Commission may act then, or it may postpone action until later.

When the Commission acts on the proposed decision, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend or modify it, or set it aside and prepare its own decision. Only when the Commission acts does the decision become binding on the parties.

Parties to the proceeding may file comments on the proposed decision as provided in Article 19 of the Commission's "Rules of Practice and Procedure," accessible on the Commission's website at <a href="www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a>. Pursuant to Rule 77.3 opening comments shall not exceed 15 pages.

Comments must be filed with the Commission's Docket Office. Comments should be served on parties to this proceeding in accordance with Rules 2.3 and 2.3.1. Electronic copies of comments should be sent to ALJ Walker at <a href="Mailto:GEW@cpuc.ca.gov">GEW@cpuc.ca.gov</a>. All parties must serve hard copies on the ALJ and the Assigned Commissioner, and for that purpose I suggest hand delivery, overnight mail or other expeditious methods of service. The current service list for this proceeding is available on the Commission's web site, <a href="www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a>.

Dated April 25, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

Angela K. Minkin, Chief Administrative Law Judge